

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Headline	PDF Page(s)	Section	The Problem	Is the Problem from the Manual or the Statute?	Does it create a risk for fraud?	Public Comments
Partisan Voter Registration Volunteers Not Required	29	A County Recorder may also designate additional "voter registration volunteers" at their discretion, which could be any person, group, or entity, and may include governmental or nonprofit and other private organizations. 52 U.S.C. § 20506(a)(3); A.R.S. § 16-140(E), (F)(1).	Neither the Manual nor the Statute require a balance of volunteers from both parties.	Manual & Statute	Yes.	<p>PDF PAGE 29. "A County Recorder may also designate additional "voter registration volunteers" at their discretion, which could be any person, group, or entity, and may include governmental or nonprofit and other private organizations. 52 U.S.C. § 20506(a)(3); A.R.S. § 16-140(E), (F)(1)."</p> <p>Concern: Anytime the County Recorder is bringing in volunteers for any purpose, including voter registration or election administration, there must equal distribution between Republicans and Democrats with no mandate to do shifts.</p>
Deceased Voter Verification Matching Not Defined	38	<p>PDF PAGE 38. Section "Soft Match Criteria for Deceased Registrant Verification"</p> <p>All EZ Voter records for a particular county are populated to an interface, where each record is individually processed by the County Recorder. If there is no "true match" against an existing registrant's record in the county, the County Recorder should create a new registration record and import the EZ Voter record into the new registration record. Once the new record is saved, the statewide voter registration system will conduct the same automatic AZMVD/SSA verification and statewide duplicate checking that occurs when a paper form has been entered. If a County Recorder finds a match between an EZ Voter record and an existing registration record, the County Recorder should apply the EZ Voter updates to the existing record.</p>	<p>"True Match" is not a defined term. Whereas, "soft match" and "hard match" are defined.</p>	Manual and Statute	Yes.	<p>PDF PAGE 38. Section "Soft Match Criteria for Deceased Registrant Verification"</p> <p>Concern: The manual creates a term of "true match," but does not define this term. This term must be defined in the manual and statute.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Back Door Same Day Voter Registration</p>	<p>43</p>	<p>However, voter registration processing may continue during the “books closed” period if the County Recorder has other means of identifying records of eligible voters as of the last day to register (e.g., the voter registration system is able to sort by effective eligibility date).</p> <p>Even if a County Recorder chooses to suspend voter registration processing during the “books closed” period, the following updates should continue to be processed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Address Updates: If an existing registrant updates their residence or mailing address after the voter registration deadline but prior to the finalization of the signature or e-pollbook rosters, a County Recorder may update the registrant’s record with the updated address. Registrants who updated their residence address would be permitted to vote a regular ballot at their new voting precinct (if different from their prior precinct). (Voters who registered prior to the voter registration deadline but failed to notify the County Recorder of an address change prior to the date of the election are eligible to vote a provisional ballot and may update their address at their new voting precinct.) • Name Changes: If an existing registrant updates their name after the voter registration deadline but prior to the finalization of signature rosters or e-pollbook rosters, a County Recorder may update the registrant’s record with the new name. • DPOC Changes: If a “federal-only” voter provides 	<p>The instructions provided are not quoting the statute and violate the 29 day books closed period in the statute. This section should be re-evaluated to align with the statute. The books closed period are necessary to validate voter registration changes to protect against fraud.</p> <p>The statement "Even if a County Recorder chooses to suspend voter registration processing during the 'books closed' period" should be removed as every county recorder should hold the books closed period as prescribed by the statute. Loosely applied, this phrase allows for same day voter registration by the Recorders.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	<p>PDF PAGE 43, Section "VI VOTER REGISTRATION PROCESSING DURING "BOOKS CLOSED"</p> <p>Concern: We must keep the "books closed" period so that the recorder can properly process the voter registration records.</p> <p>The instructions provided are not quoting the statute and violate the 29 day books closed period in the statute. This section should be re-evaluated to align with the statute. The books closed period are necessary to validate voter registration changes to protect against fraud.</p> <p>The statement "Even if a County Recorder chooses to suspend voter registration processing during the 'books closed' period" should be removed as every county recorder should hold the books closed period as prescribed by the statute. Loosely applied, this phrase allows for same day voter registration by the Recorders.</p>
--	-----------	---	---	---------------	-------------	--

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Soft Match Criteria for Deceased Registrant Verification</p>	<p>48</p>	<p>Soft Match Criteria for Deceased Registrant Verification For the purpose of verifying deceased resident records against the statewide voter registration database, a "soft match" occurs if the first three letters of the first and last name and DOB match in both records or there is a "hard match" against more than one existing registrant record. If the system finds a "soft match" between the deceased record and a registrant record, it will flag the records and notify the appropriate County Recorder of the need to review and compare the records. The County Recorder must conduct an individualized inquiry and determine whether a "true match" exists between the records. If a County Recorder determines that a "true match" exists, the County Recorder must select "match" in the system. The registrant's record will be automatically updated to "canceled" status with a reason code of "deceased." The County Recorder should send a letter confirming the cancellation to the registrant's mailing address on record. If the County Recorder determines that a "true match" does not exist, the County Recorder must select "no match" in the system. The registrant's record will remain in its existing status without being placed in "canceled" status in the statewide voter registration database. A County Recorder should follow the same procedures if the county directly receives deceased registrant information directly from DHS instead of the Secretary of State.</p>	<p>The manual does not describe the requirement or process to determine a "true match" hasn't been found. There is also no requirement to send a letter to the next of kin. This should be added to the statute.</p>	<p>Manual & Statute</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	<p>PDF PAGE 48. "Soft Match Criteria for Deceased Registrant Verification" Concern: The Recorder must match on the full name and additional available data points before determining it as a no match. The manual does not describe the requirement or process to determine a "true match" hasn't been found. There is also no requirement to send a letter to the next of kin. This should be added to the statute.</p>
<p>No Accountability for Voter Registration Out-of-State Cleanup</p>	<p>51</p>	<p>2. Information Received Through Multi-State Compacts Arizona is a member of the Electronic Registration Information Center (ERIC). The Secretary of State is responsible for acquiring, sorting, and distributing registrant information received from ERIC to the County Recorders and providing guidance on processing ERIC data.</p>	<p>There is no timeline required for the Secretary of State to comply with this section.</p>	<p>Manual & Statute</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	<p>PDF PAGE 51. Section "2. Information Received Through Multi-State Compacts" Concern: The manual and statute must add mandatory timelines for the SOS and Recorders to comply and act on the data and clean the voter rolls.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>No Accessibility in Voter Choice</p>	<p>68</p>	<p>7. Removal from the PEVL A voter may be removed from the PEVL under the following circumstances: • The voter makes a written request to be removed from the PEVL, which includes the voter's name, residence address, date of birth, and signature;</p>	<p>The Election Manual should require a form and email address be made available on every Recorder's website for easy access for a voter to remove themselves from PEVL. The statement "written notice" is unclear. Is this by physical written notice and mail, is it by email, is there a form online available?</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	<p>PDF PAGE 68 - 7. Removal from the PEVL Concern: It should be mandated that everywhere a County Recorder has a box to add yourself to PEVL that you can remove yourself from PEVL without re-registering to vote. The Election Manual should require a form and email address be made available on every Recorder's website for easy access for a voter to remove themselves from PEVL. The statement "written notice" is unclear. Is this by physical written notice and mail, is it by email, is there a form online available?</p>
<p>Missing Chain of Custody, Traceability, and Accountability Standards for Ballot Printing/Mailing/Receiving Company</p>	<p>71</p>	<p>PDF pg 71 Creation and Preparation of Early Ballots The County Board of Supervisors is responsible for preparing early ballots, including ballots-by-mail, to be used in federal, statewide, legislative, and countywide elections. A.R.S. § 16-405; A.R.S. § 16-503(A); A.R.S. § 16-545(B)(1). The Board of Supervisors may delegate this responsibility to the officer in charge of elections. An early ballot must be identical to a polling place ballot in content and format, except an early ballot must have the word "early" printed or stamped on the ballot. A.R.S. § 16-545(A).</p>	<p>Concern: This section includes zero instructions to the ballot printing company on how to print the ballots and envelopes and administer the mailing procedures via USPS. It does not provide any requirements for traceability, chain of custody, or bi-partisan observation. There are also no restrictions to prevent fraud or prevent the ballot printing company from facilitating the harvesting of ballots by bad actors. There are also no instructions, requirements, or restrictions on the process when receiving the mail-in ballots at the ballot printing facility. This is a major process in the election operations and it's completely a black hole in the statute and manual. There are zero prescribed requirements by USPS in the handling, receiving, and shipping of ballots. There are no requirements for traceability or chain of custody. There are no procedures for managing spoiled ballots in the mail delivery process or if the address is incorrect.</p>	<p>Manual and lack of Statute</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 71 Creation and Preparation of Early Ballots The County Board of Supervisors is responsible for preparing early ballots, including ballots-by-mail, to be used in federal, statewide, legislative, and countywide elections. A.R.S. § 16-405; A.R.S. § 16-503(A); A.R.S. § 16-545(B)(1). The Board of Supervisors may delegate this responsibility to the officer in charge of elections. An early ballot must be identical to a polling place ballot in content and format, except an early ballot must have the word "early" printed or stamped on the ballot. A.R.S. § 16-545(A). Concern: This section includes zero instructions to the ballot printing company on how to print the ballots and envelopes and administer the mailing procedures via USPS. It does not provide any requirements for traceability, chain of custody, or bi-partisan observation. There are also no restrictions to prevent fraud or prevent the ballot printing company from facilitating the harvesting of ballots by bad actors. There are also no instructions, requirements, or restrictions on the process when receiving the mail-in ballots at the ballot printing facility. This is a major process in the election</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

No Voter Fraud Dispute Process for Victims	81	<p>II. ON-SITE EARLY VOTING</p> <p>A voter must cast a ballot issued at the on-site early voting location at that location, and may not remove that ballot from the location. An early ballot must be issued even if the voter previously requested or received a ballot-by-mail, but only the first ballot received and verified by the County Recorder shall be counted.</p>	This section should describe the steps the voter can take if a ballot in their name has been cast but it was not cast by them. There should be instructions to access authoritative resources to prevent fraud.	Manual	Yes.	<p>PDF PAGE 81 - II. ON-SITE EARLY VOTING</p> <p>Concern: This section should describe the steps the voter can take if a ballot in their name has been cast but it was not cast by them. There should be instructions to access authoritative resources to prevent fraud. The election manual should have instructions to the voter on how to file an Arizona Attorney General EIU complaint or open a federal HAVA deprived right to vote case.</p>
Lack of Voter Move Timelines	82	<p>Applicability of Voter ID Requirements</p> <p>If needed, a voter may update their voter registration record at the on-site early or emergency voting location prior to receiving a ballot by completing a new voter registration form. Such updates shall be deemed effective starting in that election. A.R.S. § 16-411(B)(5)(b); A.R.S. § 16-542(A), (H)-(I).</p>	There is no timeline identified for residency to update voter information. This seems to contradict with the 29 day books closed period.	Manual & Statute	Yes.	<p>PDF Page 82 - Applicability of Voter ID Requirements</p> <p>Concern: There is no timeline identified for residency to update voter information. This seems to contradict with the 29 day books closed period. This is a federal statute issue. There is no timeline in the federal statute. The issue this causes is that bad actors can move their voter registration to change the outcome of the election towards the end of the election period.</p>
No Voter Fraud Dispute Process for Victims	85	<p>CHALLENGES TO EARLY BALLOTS</p> <p>Challenges to early ballots must be submitted prior to the opening of the early ballot affidavit envelope. Challenges received after the affidavit envelope containing the ballot has been opened must be summarily denied as untimely.</p>	A risk exists that if a mailed ballot is sent to a wrong address and illegally harvested and a vote cast by someone other than the voter, the voter has no recourse for the fraudulent ballot to be pulled for their legitimate ballot to be counted.	Manual	Yes.	<p>PDF PAGE 85 - CHALLENGES TO EARLY BALLOTS</p> <p>Concern: Now that early ballots are opened and counted pre-election, this section of the manual is not logical, nor is the ARS statute. There is no way for section V on page 85 or A.R.S. § 16-591 to now be pursued by a qualified elector. A risk also exists that if a mailed ballot is sent to a wrong address and illegally harvested and a vote cast by someone other than the voter, the voter has no recourse for the fraudulent ballot to be pulled for their legitimate ballot to be counted.</p>
Lack of Signature Verification Requirements	86	<p>1. Signature Verification</p> <p>Upon receipt of the return envelope with an early ballot and completed affidavit, a County Recorder or other officer in charge of elections shall compare the signature on the affidavit with the voter's signature in the voter's registration record. In addition to the voter registration form, the County Recorder should also consult additional known signatures from other official election documents in the voter's registration record, such as signature rosters or early ballot/PEVL request forms, in determining whether the signature on the early ballot affidavit was made by the same person who is registered to vote.</p>	<p>There is no requirement for a number of checkpoints to validate the signatures including the methods used and training provided. This needs to be in both the statute and the manual.</p> <p>If any technology tools are utilized there must be additional requirements outlined including a test required for certification of the technology and equipment.</p>	Manual & Statutory	Yes	<p>PDF pg 86 - 1. Signature Verification</p> <p>Concerns: There is no requirement for a number of checkpoints to validate the signatures including the methods used and training provided. This needs to be in both the statute and the manual.</p> <p>If any technology tools are utilized there must be additional requirements outlined including a test required for certification of the technology and equipment.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Flawed Early Ballot Log Report Requirements</p>	<p>88</p>	<p>Early Ballot Report Log The early ballot board shall maintain an early ballot report log that includes the following information for each batch of early ballots: 1. Batch ID; 2. Number of ballots to process/processed; 3. Number of ballots rejected and the reason(s) for the rejection (as applicable); 4. Number of ballots sent to duplication; 5. Number of ballots sent to tabulation; 6. Board members' initials; and 7. Board ID.</p>	<p>Early Ballot Report Log requirements are lacking and fails to require date/time stamp of ballot review creating a chain of custody issue.</p>	<p>Manual & lack of Statute</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 88 - Early Ballot Report Log Concern: Early Ballot Report Log requirements are lacking and fails to require date/time stamp of ballot review creating a chain of custody issue.</p>
<p>Lack of Affidavit Verification Requirements</p>	<p>88</p>	<p>PDF pg 88 - Affidavit Verification Upon receipt of early ballots that have been batched and signature-verified by the County Recorder or other officer in charge of elections, the early ballot board shall: • Verify that the affidavit envelope reflects the current election code; and • Verify that the voter on the affidavit envelope appears on the batch report list received from the County Recorder. The ballot shall be counted if the County Recorder determined that the affidavit is sufficient and the registrant is a qualified elector of the voting precinct. The vote shall not be counted if the County Recorder determined that the affidavit signature is missing or insufficient, or the registrant is not a qualified elector of the voting precinct.</p>	<p>Concern: The term "insufficient" is arbitrary and must be defined. Considering there are no specific requirements or formula defined on PDF page 86 to identify fraud or validate the signature is indeed from the voter. The process to determine if the registrant is a qualified elector based on the envelope affidavit is not defined. Without consistent procedures outlined, counties will not have consistent processes and the envelopes will not be treated equally across all Arizona counties.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	<p>PDF pg 88 - Affidavit Verification "The ballot shall be counted if the County Recorder determined that the affidavit is sufficient and the registrant is a qualified elector of the voting precinct. The vote shall not be counted if the County Recorder determined that the affidavit signature is missing or insufficient, or the registrant is not a qualified elector of the voting precinct." Concern: The term "insufficient" is arbitrary and must be defined. Considering there are no specific requirements or formula defined on PDF page 86 to identify fraud or validate the signature is indeed from the voter. The process to determine if the registrant is a qualified elector based on the envelope affidavit is not defined. Without consistent procedures outlined, counties will not have consistent processes and the envelopes will not be treated equally across all Arizona counties.</p>
<p>Lack of Chain of Custody in Ballot Transportation</p>	<p>90</p>	<p>PDF pg 90 - 7. Ballot Transportation After processing is complete, if ballots need to be transferred to another building for tabulation, ballots shall be transported in a secure manner by at least two election officials (not of the same political party preference) with the ballots inside of a ballot transfer container (sealed with a tamper-resistant or tamper-evident seal) to a receiving site or a central counting place that is inside a secured building. Badge control shall be handled in the same manner as the central counting place.</p>	<p>Concern: Chain of custody failure created by not documenting the identity of the two election officials transporting ballots.</p>	<p>manual</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	<p>PDF pg 90 - 7. Ballot Transportation Concern: Chain of custody failure created by not documenting the identity of the two election officials transporting ballots.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Voter Suppression in Lack of Voter Choice</p>	<p>92</p>	<p>PDF pg 92 - CHAPTER 3: BALLOT-BY-MAIL ELECTIONS "Ballot-by-mail" elections are elections in which a ballot is automatically mailed to every qualified elector in a jurisdiction, regardless of whether the voter is on the PEVL. These elections also include establishment of ballot replacement sites, where voters may receive and cast a replacement ballot. Ballot-by-mail elections must be conducted according to most of the same requirements applicable to early voting. A.R.S. § 16-191; A.R.S. § 16-409(A); A.R.S. § 16-558(B); see also Chapter 2.</p>	<p>Concern: Only "Ballot-by-mail" elections suppress the vote. This should be reconsidered by the Legislature. Elections must be operated in such a way that it is easy to vote and hard to cheat. All-mail-in ballot elections fail on these two objectives.</p>	<p>Manual & statutory</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	<p>PDF pg 92 - CHAPTER 3: BALLOT-BY-MAIL ELECTIONS Concern: Only "Ballot-by-mail" elections suppress the vote. This should be reconsidered by the Legislature. Elections must be operated in such a way that it is easy to vote and hard to cheat. All-mail-in ballot elections fail on these two objectives.</p>
<p>Lack of Transparency in Election Reporting Requirements</p>	<p>94</p>	<p>PDF pg 94 - POST-ELECTION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS A city, town, or school district that conducts a ballot-by-mail election must report the following information to the Senate President and Speaker of the House of Representatives by January 1 of the year immediately following any ballot-by-mail election:</p>	<p>Concern: The report sent to the Senate President and Speaker of the House of Representatives should be posted publicly online by the Arizona Secretary of State or local jurisdiction.</p>	<p>Manual and Statute</p>	<p>Lack of Transparency</p>	<p>PDF pg 94 - POST-ELECTION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS Concern: The report sent to the Senate President and Speaker of the House of Representatives should be posted publicly online by the Arizona Secretary of State or local jurisdiction.</p>
<p>Backdoor Recertification Loopholes</p>	<p>102</p>	<p>Application for Recertification of Updated/Modified Systems Any upgrades or modifications to any aspect of an existing certified voting system as defined in Chapter 4, Section I require recertification as a precondition for the upgraded/modified system to be used in Arizona elections. Like new applications, an application for recertification requires VSTL approval, EAC certification, and certification by the Secretary of State, based on review and recommendation by the Election Equipment Certification Committee. However, depending on the nature of the upgrade/modification, a demonstration and functionality test may not be necessary.</p>	<p>Secretary of State can forego any recommendations by the manufacturer, public comment, committee members, etc. and decide not to run functionality tests on voting machines. This section does not reference this allowance within the statute. A decision to eliminate transparency must not be bureaucratic, but rather must be seriously considered by the people's representatives via the legislative process. The Manual must not become a substitute for the Legislature.</p>	<p>Manual and lack of Statute</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	<p>PDF pg 102 - Application for Recertification of Updated/Modified Systems Concerns: Secretary of State can forego any recommendations by the manufacturer, public comment, committee members, etc. and decide not to run functionality tests on voting machines. This section does not reference this allowance within the statute. A decision to eliminate transparency must not be bureaucratic, but rather must be seriously considered by the people's representatives via the legislative process. The Manual must not become a substitute for the Legislature.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Loose Accountability from SOS on Equipment Certification</p>	<p>102</p>	<p>3. Secretary of State Final Decision Within a reasonable period after receiving the Election Equipment Certification Committee's recommendation, the Secretary of State must issue a final decision on an application for certification or recertification. The Secretary of State may accept, deny, or modify the Election Equipment Certification Committee's recommendation, including issuance of a partial or conditional certification. The Secretary of State must issue the final decision in writing and notify the manufacturer by mail or email. A final decision denying certification must include notice that the decision constitutes an appealable agency action. The notice must: 1. Identify the statute, rule, or provision upon which the decision was based; 2. Identify with reasonable particularity the reason why certification was denied or conditioned; 3. Include a description of the manufacturer's right to request a hearing on the decision; and</p>	<p>"Reasonable period" is not specific enough. This should be a fixed, specific time frame such as 30 days as an example.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>PDF Pg 102 - 3. Secretary of State Final Decision Concern: "Reasonable period" is not specific enough. This should be a fixed, specific time frame such as 30 days as an example.</p>
<p>Lack of Transparency in L&A Test</p>	<p>109</p>	<p>PDF pg 109 - Observers for L&A Test To ensure security, comply with applicable public health guidelines, and/or prevent disruption of election operations, the officer in charge of elections may place reasonable restrictions on observers, including specifying a designated area where observers are permitted to view the L&A test. If possible, the live video feed of the tabulation room should be turned on for L&A tests.</p>	<p>Concerns: This entire section is unnecessarily broad to restrict transparency and public access. This section was amended from the previous manual and it seems with the intention to limit public access and transparency. "Reasonable restrictions" is not defined and is not in the statute. The L&A test should always have a live video feed with sound and the video should be preserved for public record.</p>	<p>Manual & statutory</p>	<p>yes.</p>	<p>PDF pg 109 - Observers for L&A Test Concerns: This entire section is unnecessarily broad to restrict transparency and public access. This section was amended from the previous manual and it seems with the intention to limit public access and transparency. "Reasonable restrictions" is not defined and is not in the statute. The L&A test should always have a live video feed with sound and the video should be preserved for public record.</p>
<p>Lack of Standards in Voting Equipment Test</p>	<p>109</p>	<p>PDF pg 109 - 1. Acquisition of Precinct Information and Voting Equipment If multiple counties use the same type of voting equipment, the Secretary of State may preliminarily test multiple counties' ballots on the same piece of voting equipment without acquiring voting equipment from each county.</p>	<p>Concern: With the increase in sophistication of voting equipment, counties can utilize a variety of settings and configurations. Testing only one set of machines with out testing the variety of test cases and scenarios for each individual county is adverse to best practices and insufficient for a true L&A. This section is arbitrary, not included in the statute, and reduces voter confidence. This section will lead to voter suppression if not amended.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Voter suppression</p>	<p>PDF pg 109 - 1. Acquisition of Precinct Information and Voting Equipment Concern: With the increase in sophistication of voting equipment, counties can utilize a variety of settings and configurations. Testing only one set of machines with out testing the variety of test cases and scenarios for each individual county is adverse to best practices and insufficient for a true L&A. This section is arbitrary, not included in the statute, and reduces voter confidence. This section will lead to voter suppression if not amended.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Voting Equipment Standards	110	<p>PDF pg 110 - 1. Acquisition of Precinct Information and Voting Equipment</p> <p>In order to prepare the county's testing requirements, the officer in charge of elections must provide the Secretary of State the following information as soon as possible:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The type of voting locations that will be utilized (i.e., precinct-based polling places, vote centers, or hybrid); • The manufacturer and type of equipment that will be used (e.g., precinct-based tabulators, central count tabulators, etc.); • Whether the county programs its own election, or utilizes a vendor to program its election; 	<p>Concern: "utilizes a vendor to program its election"</p> <p>The word "program" is very vague. This section does not come from the statute. By "program", does the Secretary of State mean the vendor is configuring the software? By using the word "program," there is a perception created that the jurisdiction or county does not control or operate their own election. This phrasing is irresponsible and reduces voter confidence which suppresses the vote.</p>	Manual	Voter suppression	<p>PDF pg 110 - 1. Acquisition of Precinct Information and Voting Equipment</p> <p>Concern: "utilizes a vendor to program its election"</p> <p>The word "program" is very vague. This section does not come from the statute. By "program", does the Secretary of State mean the vendor is configuring the software? By using the word "program," there is a perception created that the jurisdiction or county does not control or operate their own election. This phrasing is irresponsible and reduces voter confidence which suppresses the vote.</p>
Lack of Transparency in L&A Test	115	<p>PDF pg 115 - 4. Errors Discovered During Testing</p> <p>If any error is detected during L&A testing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The cause shall be ascertained and corrected; • An errorless count shall be made before the voting equipment and programs are approved for use in the election; • If the election program is found to be the source of the error, a copy of a revised election program shall be filed with the Secretary of State within 48 hours after the revision; and • If the error was created by voting equipment malfunction, a report shall be filed with the Secretary of State within 48 hours after the correction is made, stating the cause and the corrective action taken. <p>A.R.S. § 16-449(A)</p>	<p>Concern: All documentation and reporting should be published online on the AZ SOS website and available for public consumption real-time.</p>	Manual and lack of Statute	Transparency	<p>PDF pg 115 - 4. Errors Discovered During Testing</p> <p>Concern: All documentation and reporting should be published online on the AZ SOS website and available for public consumption real-time.</p>
Lack of Transparency in L&A Test	116	<p>PDF pg 116 - Voting Equipment — II. Logic and Accuracy (L&A) Testing</p>	<p>Concern: There is no requirement for the content of the minutes or a video record of the L&A test. The minutes and video record should be required to be posted within 48 hours of the L&A test being completed.</p>	Manual and lack of Statute	Transparency	<p>PDF pg 116 - Voting Equipment — II. Logic and Accuracy (L&A) Testing</p> <p>Concern: There is no requirement for the content of the minutes or a video record of the L&A test. The minutes and video record should be required to be posted within 48 hours of the L&A test being completed.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Security Requirements	117	<p>PDF pg -117 - Data Security of the Electronic Voting System</p> <p>Data Security of the Electronic Voting System Components of the electronic voting system:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Must be password-protected (for voting system software);³¹ • In addition to complying with any system requirements, passwords must not be a vendor-supplied password and must only be known by authorized users. 2. May not be connected to the internet, any wireless communications device, or any external network (except for e-pollbooks); • An EMS must be a stand-alone system, attached only to components inside an isolated network. An EMS may only be installed on a computer that contains only an operating system, the EMS software, data/audio extractor software, and any necessary security software. 3. May not be used to modem election results, whether through analog, cellular, or any similar transmission; 4. May not contain remote access software or any capability to remotely-access the system; 5. Must match the software or firmware hash code on file with the officer in charge of elections prior to programing the election and the hash code on file with either (1) the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST); or (2) the Secretary of State at the time of certification of the electronic voting system; and 	<p>Concern: This section does not prescribe any security requirement for the password to the core database of the system. It says passwords must not be vendor supplied, but does not specify if this applies to all passwords. For instance, per this requirement, Dominion must supply their vendor password and in effect cannot have a vendor password to protect any part of their system.</p>	Manual and lack of Statute	Yes	<p>PDF pg -117 - Data Security of the Electronic Voting System Data Security of the Electronic Voting System Components of the electronic voting system</p> <p>Concern: This section does not prescribe any security requirement for the password to the core database of the system. It says passwords must not be vendor supplied, but does not specify if this applies to all passwords. For instance, per this requirement, Dominion must supply their vendor password for the source code and cannot have a vendor password to protect any part of their system.</p>
Loophole in Data Security	117	<p>PDF pg -117 - Data Security of the Electronic Voting System</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Must be witnessed by two or more election staff members (of different political parties if possible) when being moved or transferred, which includes an inventory of the equipment and chain of custody before and after the move or transfer. 	<p>Concern: "If possible" should be removed</p>	Manual	Yes.	<p>PDF pg -117 - Data Security of the Electronic Voting System</p> <p>Concern: "If possible" should be removed.</p>
Lack of Security Requirements RE Removable Storage	118	<p>PDF pg 118 Removable Electronic Storage Devices Used with the Voting System</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The following security protocols apply to any memory stick or other removable electronic storage device used with the electronic voting system: A stick or device must be purchased or received from a reliable source. 	<p>Concern: Reliable source should be specifically defined.</p>	Manual	Yes.	<p>PDF pg 118 Removable Electronic Storage Devices Used with the Voting System</p> <p>"1. The following security protocols apply to any memory stick or other removable electronic storage device used with the electronic voting system: A stick or device must be purchased or received from a reliable source."</p> <p>Concern: Reliable source should be</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Security Requirements RE Removable Storage	118	PDF pg 118 Removable Electronic Storage Device Used with the Voting System, 6, When feasible, write-once memory cards or write-once disks should be used instead of USB devices to transfer data to or from an electronic voting system to ensure a "one-way, one-use policy" is self-enforced by the technology.	Concern: "When feasible" is not acceptable language. This must be a mandatory requirement.	Manual	Yes.	PDF pg 118 Removable Electronic Storage Device Used with the Voting System, 6. Concern: "When feasible" is not acceptable language. This must be a mandatory requirement.
Lack of Security Requirements RE Removable Storage	119	PDF pg 119 - Removable Electronic Storage Devices Used with the Voting System 7. If the individual file to be transferred between systems was electronically received (whether through download, by email, or any other electronic means), the individual file must be scanned with antivirus software prior to being placed on the stick or device. The scanning should be done on a computer segregated from the primary network and while that computer is not connected to the internet. If any files were downloaded from an internet portal, the portal must be a secure portal for data transmission purposes. Regardless of the method of receipt, however, individual files should only be downloaded, transferred, or otherwise utilized if they were received by a trusted third-party source. 8. If a stick or device was received by mail: • The stick or device should only be accepted from a trusted, third-party source; • The stick or device must be encrypted by the third-party source, and the password to decrypt the stick or device may not be included with the mailing itself; and • Upon receipt, the stick or device must be scanned with antivirus software prior to opening or otherwise executing any file contained on the stick or device. The scanning should be done on a computer segregated from the primary network and while that computer is not connected to the internet.	Concern: "Trusted, 3rd Party Source" is arbitrary and not a sufficient description for a security section.	Manual & statutory	Yes	PDF pg 119 - Removable Electronic Storage Devices Used with the Voting System Concern: "Trusted, 3rd Party Source" is arbitrary and not a sufficient description for a security section.

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Security Requirements RE Removable Storage	119	PDF pg 119 - Removable Electronic Storage Devices Used with the Voting System 3. Electronic storage media shall be physically secured at all times. No physical access should be given to any person unless the election officer in charge of the electronic storage media specifically grants that person access. Secured locations must be provided for storing electronic media when not in use, coding an election, creating the election media, and transferring and installing the election media into the voting device.	Concern: This section gives broad powers by using the word "person". Rather, the section should limit this to an election board or at a minimum have an election board witness all movement of removable storage devices. This section does not specify that secured locations must be onsite. This section does not prohibit removable storage devices to be taken offsite. This section does not require any chain of custody documentation or any video surveillance. There are major security concerns with this section.	Manual and lack of Statute	Yes	PDF pg 119 - Removable Electronic Storage Devices Used with the Voting System 3. Concern: This section gives broad powers by using the word "person". Rather, the section should limit this to an election board or at a minimum have an election board witness all movement of removable storage devices. This section does not specify that secured locations must be onsite. This section does not prohibit removable storage devices to be taken offsite. This section does not require any chain of custody documentation or any video surveillance. There are major security concerns with this section.
Backdoor Internet Connection	119	PDF Page 119 EMS Gateway Computer 2. The computer shall not be used for any purpose other than moving necessary election data in or out of the EMS.	Concern: The EMS is supposed to be isolated from any internet connection. If the EMS Gateway is able to connect IN to the EMS, then that essentially means the EMS is connected to the internet which is a major security concern and defeats the purpose of isolating the EMS from the internet. The word IN must be deleted! There must be additional audit log requirements added to both machines that are part of the final audit reports before the election is certified. "Necessary election data"	Manual and lack of Statute	Yes	PDF Page 119 EMS Gateway Computer Concern: The EMS is supposed to be isolated from any internet connection. If the EMS Gateway is able to connect IN to the EMS, then that essentially means the EMS is connected to the internet which is a major security concern and defeats the purpose of isolating the EMS from the internet. The word IN must be deleted! There must be additional audit log requirements added to both machines that are part of the final audit reports before the election is certified. "Necessary election data" must be defined.

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Backdoor Administrative Fiat Legislation</p>	<p>119</p>	<p>PDF pg 119 Voting Equipment — III. Security Measures for Electronic Voting Systems -EMS Gateway Computer By the August 2022 Primary Election, a special-purpose, dedicated computer (the “EMS Gateway Computer”) shall be used to (1) download data from the internet onto a memory stick or other removable electronic storage device that will be connected to the EMS; and/or (2) upload data onto the internet from a stick or device that was connected to the EMS. No other computer except for the designated EMS Gateway Computer shall be used for these purposes and the designated EMS Gateway Computer shall not be used for any other purpose. The following security protocols apply to the EMS Gateway Computer: 1. The computer should only be connected to a network when necessary (e.g., to upload to or download from the internet or to install necessary software updates). 2. The computer shall not be used for any purpose other than moving necessary election data in or out of the EMS. 3. The computer’s operating system, browser, and endpoint protection software shall have the latest updates and security patches installed. 4. The computer shall have endpoint protection software (i.e., antivirus software that protects the computer from malware, viruses, ransomware, incursions, and other cyber security risks), with scanning capability installed. 5. The computer shall have no software installed other</p>	<p>Concern: This entire section is new to the manual by Secretary Hobbs. This section is not covered by statute per Hobbs lack of reference. This section is administrative "legislation" and a huge power grab by the SOS as it is the Legislature’s role to create entirely new functions of the election process. This entire section should be removed from the manual and instead it should be worked through the legislative process. The most alarming concern in this section is that this section creates internet functionality to EMS by having the EMS Gateway have the function of connecting to the internet with explicit permission for EMS Gateway to move "necessary election data in" to EMS.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 119 Voting Equipment — III. Security Measures for Electronic Voting Systems -EMS Gateway Computer Concern: This entire section is new to the manual by Secretary Hobbs. This section is not covered by statute per Hobbs lack of reference. This section is administrative "legislation" and a huge power grab by the SOS as it is the Legislature's role to create entirely new functions of the election process. This entire section should be removed from the manual and instead it should be worked through the legislative process. The most alarming concern in this section is that this section creates internet functionality to EMS by having the EMS Gateway have the function of connecting to the internet with explicit permission for EMS Gateway to move "necessary election data in" to EMS.</p>
<p>Lack of Transparency in Incident Response Plan</p>	<p>122</p>	<p>PDF pg 122 - Incidence Response Plan The County Recorder and officer in charge of elections shall develop a written incident response plan outlining how they will respond to and report election incidents that have security implications and/or may disrupt election operations. The Secretary of State shall provide and periodically update an election incident response plan template for the counties to consult</p>	<p>Concern: The incident response plan should include the Attorney General's Election Integrity Unit. The plan must include the public and other stakeholders so that proper communication is made and appropriate documentation recorded and reported to the appropriate authorities and government agencies.</p>	<p>Manual and lack of Statute</p>	<p>Transparency</p>	<p>PDF pg 122 - Incidence Response Plan "The County Recorder and officer in charge of elections shall develop a written incident response plan outlining how they will respond to and report election incidents that have security implications and/or may disrupt election operations. The Secretary of State shall provide and periodically update an election incident response plan template for the counties to consult" Concern: The incident response plan should include the Attorney General's Election Integrity Unit. The plan must include the public and other stakeholders so that proper communication is made and appropriate documentation recorded and reported to the appropriate authorities and government agencies.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Accommodations for Voters with Disabilities	127	<p>PDF pg 127 - Resources for Voters with Sight and Hearing Disabilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each voting location and early voting site shall have at least one magnifying instrument. • For a statewide election, the Secretary of State shall provide each county with at least one large print version of the publicity pamphlet for each polling place, vote center, and early voting site. The officer in charge of elections shall provide at least one large print version of county publicity pamphlets to each polling place, vote center, and early voting site. 	Concern: There should be more than one magnifying instrument, large print version of the publicity pamphlet, etc. for convenience of multiple people needing accommodations or damage occurring to the instruments.	Manual	Yes.	<p>PDF pg 127 - Resources for Voters with Sight and Hearing Disabilities</p> <p>Concern: There should be more than one magnifying instrument, large print version of the publicity pamphlet, etc. for convenience of multiple people needing accommodations or damage occurring to the instruments.</p>
Lack of Chain of Custody in Ballot Delivery	129	<p>PDF pg 129 - SPECIAL ELECTION BOARDS Personal ballot delivery through a special election board shall be provided to any qualified elector who is confined as a result of a continuing illness or physical disability and is, therefore, not able to go to the polls on Election Day. See Chapter 2, Section IV for more details.</p>	Concern: There should be a requirement for chain of custody documentation of the identities of the board that served specific voters	Manual & statutory	Transparency	<p>PDF pg 129 - SPECIAL ELECTION BOARDS</p> <p>Concern: There should be a requirement for chain of custody documentation of the identities of the board that served specific voters</p>
Conflict with Statute for Write-in Candidates	137	<p>PDF pg 137 2. Write-In Candidacy</p> <p>The required documents must be filed no earlier than 150 days before the election and no later than 40 days before the election at 5:00 PM, except: (i) a write-in candidate to fill a vacancy that occurs after the official ballots have been printed must file the required nomination documents no later than five days before the election; and (ii) a write-in candidate who intends to run in a school district, special taxing district, or precinct committeemen election that may be canceled due to an insufficient number of candidates seeking election must file the required nomination documents no later than 106 days before the election in question. A.R.S. § 16-312(B); A.R.S. § 16-343(D).</p>	Concern: Per the statute, 106 days should be changed to 76 days.	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 137 2. Write-In Candidacy</p> <p>Concern: Per the statute, 106 days should be changed to 76 days.</p>
Conflict of Interest in County Recorder Challenges	145	<p>PDF pg 145 County Recorder Signature Verification</p> <p>If the County Recorder is the candidate being challenged, the County Recorder will be screened from the signature verification process and will have staff conduct the signature verification and prepare the report. The County Recorder shall not personally work on the verification.</p>	Concern: The County Recorder staff conducting the signature verification process is not sufficient to ensure credibility of the verification process. In this situation, the Secretary of State should perform the signature verification as an unbiased party.	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 145 County Recorder Signature Verification</p> <p>"If the County Recorder is the candidate being challenged, the County Recorder will be screened from the signature verification process and will have staff conduct the signature verification and prepare the report. The County Recorder shall not personally work on the verification."</p> <p>Concern: The County Recorder staff conducting the signature verification process is not sufficient to ensure credibility of the verification process. In this situation, the Secretary of State should perform the signature verification as an unbiased party.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Backdoor Administrative Fiat Legislation</p>	<p>146</p>	<p>PDF pg 146 3. Testimony and Evidence by County Recorder In addition to the County Recorder's signature verification report, the County Recorder(s) and the filing officer, or their designee(s), must also provide testimony and other evidence for the challenge hearing on the request of any of the parties to the court action. A.R.S. § 16-351(E).</p>	<p>Concern: A.R.S. § 16-351(E) does not extend this to designees. Either the statute should add designees or the manual should remove the word to conform.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 146 3. Testimony and Evidence by County Recorder "In addition to the County Recorder's signature verification report, the County Recorder(s) and the filing officer, or their designee(s), must also provide testimony and other evidence for the challenge hearing on the request of any of the parties to the court action. A.R.S. § 16-351(E)." Concern: A.R.S. § 16-351(E) does not extend this to designees. Either the statute should add designees or the manual should remove the word to conform.</p>
<p>Conflict with Statute on Costs & Expenses</p>	<p>147</p>	<p>PDF pg 147 Costs and Expenses The filing officer has no statutory duty to reimburse County Recorders for signature verification or other expenses incurred in connection with nomination petition challenges. Accordingly, County Recorders should seek sufficient funding from their Board of Supervisors to ensure the ability to comply with the signature verification and reporting requirements of A.R.S. § 16-351. The County Recorder may seek reasonable expenses from the challenger or candidate under specified circumstances. If the court finds that the challenge was without substantial justification or primarily for the purpose of delay or harassment, the court may enter judgment in favor of the County Recorder or officer in charge of elections and against the challenger for the reasonable expenses incurred in the signature verification process. Similarly, if the court finds that the candidate knowingly or recklessly submitted a substantial number of invalid signatures, the court may enter judgment in favor of the County Recorder or officer in charge of elections for the reasonable costs incurred in the signature verification process. A.R.S. § 16-351.01</p>	<p>Concern: The statute uses the word expenses. However, the manual in the last line of the last paragraph uses the word cost. The manual should align with the statute.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 147 Costs and Expenses Concern: The statute uses the word expenses. However, the manual in the last line of the last paragraph uses the word cost. The manual should align with the statute.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Conflict with Statute on Voting Locations</p>	<p>150</p>	<p>PDF pg 150 Voting Locations The Secretary of State may release a county from these limits if complying with them would jeopardize compliance with federal or state law. A.R.S. § 16-248(F). In addition, the limits and consolidation requirements do not apply to Native American reservations. A.R.S. § 16-248(G).</p>	<p>Concern: The wording does not seem to match the statute and the manual makes it broader by changing the “and” to “or”. In particular, the statute ARS 16-248 (F) states that “If it is determined by the secretary of state that compliance with state and federal regulations would be jeopardized, the secretary of state has the authority to release a county from the number of polling places prescribed by this section.”</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 150 Voting Locations "The Secretary of State may release a county from these limits if complying with them would jeopardize compliance with federal or state law. A.R.S. § 16-248(F). In addition, the limits and consolidation requirements do not apply to Native American reservations. A.R.S. § 16-248(G)." Concern: The wording does not seem to match the statute and the manual makes it broader by changing the “and” to “or”. In particular, the statute ARS 16-248 (F) states that “If it is determined by the secretary of state that compliance with state and federal regulations would be jeopardized, the secretary of state has the authority to release a county from the number of polling places prescribed by this section.”</p>
<p>Conflict with Statute on Voting Locations</p>	<p>150</p>	<p>PDF pg 150 Voting Locations The officer in charge of elections may conduct the PPE entirely by mail in precincts with fewer than 300 active, registered voters (except for on Native American reservations). A.R.S. § 16- 248(H).</p>	<p>Concern: The word “entirely” was added to the manual when it is not in the statute. It appears the intent is so that a polling place will not be provided for the PPE election in a precinct with 229 or less registered voters and only mail-in ballots will be allowed. The Secretary must clarify this section.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 150 Voting Locations "The officer in charge of elections may conduct the PPE entirely by mail in precincts with fewer than 300 active, registered voters (except for on Native American reservations). A.R.S. § 16-248(H)." Concern: The word “entirely” was added to the manual when it is not in the statute. It appears the intent is so that a polling place will not be provided for the PPE election in a precinct with 229 or less registered voters and only mail-in ballots will be allowed. The Secretary must clarify this section.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Lack of Accountability on Electioneering</p>	<p>156</p>	<p>PDF pg 156 - Requirement to Allow Electioneering Outside 75-Foot Limit Except in cases of an emergency designation (see Section I(G) above), any voting location or ballot replacement site used on Election Day or during on-site early voting must permit persons to engage in electioneering and other political activity in public areas and parking lots used by voters outside the 75-foot limit. A.R.S. § 16-411(H). Electioneering or political activity may not result in voter intimidation. Further, no temporary or permanent structure may be erected and access to parking spaces may not be blocked or impaired. A.R.S. § 16-411(H).</p>	<p>Concerns: This section include a statement that electioneering does not include gratuities of any form given to voters.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 156 - Requirement to Allow Electioneering Outside 75-Foot Limit Concerns: This section include a statement that electioneering does not include gratuities of any form given to voters.</p>
<p>Lack of Clarity in Appointment Process</p>	<p>164</p>	<p>PDF pg 164 Appointment Process The county chairperson (or designee) of each party represented on the ballot must submit the names of specific political party observers to the County Recorder or officer in charge of elections in writing (in hard copy or electronically in advance of observation, as required by the County Recorder or officer in charge of elections). The County Recorder or officer in charge of elections may require reasonable deadlines for advance notice of appointments. Where there is no county political party officer to make the appointment, the state political party chairperson may appoint political party observers for that county.</p>	<p>Concerns: Where the manual references the Recorder or officer in charge of elections can require "reasonable deadlines," the word reasonable needs to be better defined for a legitimate reasonable outcome.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 164 Appointment Process Concerns: Where the manual references the Recorder or officer in charge of elections can require "reasonable deadlines," the word reasonable need to be better defined for a legitimate reasonable outcome.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Clarity in EPM	182	<p>PDF pg 182 The officer in charge of elections shall also implement reasonable security procedures for auditing and accountability of blank ballot stock for use with on-demand printers.</p> <p>The County Recorder or officer in charge of elections shall also implement procedures to ensure that voted ballots are properly secured and chain of custody is maintained and documented both prior to and after tabulation and canvassing, and for the duration of the applicable retention period.</p> <p>See, e.g., Chapter 2, Sections I(I) and VI(B); Chapter 9, Section VIII(B)-(C); Chapter 10, Sections I and II; and Chapter 13, Section VI.</p>	<p>Concern: The Legislature should identify more specific standards to define "properly secured," "chain of custody," "reasonable security procedures for auditing and accountability."</p>	Manual and Lack of Statute	Yes	<p>PDF pg 182 The officer in charge of elections shall also implement reasonable security procedures for auditing and accountability of blank ballot stock for use with on-demand printers.</p> <p>The County Recorder or officer in charge of elections shall also implement procedures to ensure that voted ballots are properly secured and chain of custody is maintained and documented both prior to and after tabulation and canvassing, and for the duration of the applicable retention period.</p> <p>See, e.g., Chapter 2, Sections I(I) and VI(B); Chapter 9, Section VIII(B)-(C); Chapter 10, Sections I and II; and Chapter 13, Section VI.</p> <p>Concern: The Legislature should identify more specific standards to define "properly secured," "chain of custody," "reasonable security procedures for auditing and accountability."</p>
Lack of Clarity in EPM	189	<p>PDF pg 189 PREPARATION OF VOTING SUPPLIES Materials to Be Distributed to Voting Locations 3. Supply Bag:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Voting equipment manuals (if applicable); • Pens and/or pencils; • A method for measuring the 75-foot limit; • Masking tape; and • "I Voted" stickers (if available); 	<p>Concern: "Pens" should be specified as blue or black ink. "Or" should be removed. Pens should also be defined to not include sharpies.</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 189 PREPARATION OF VOTING SUPPLIES Materials to Be Distributed to Voting Locations 3. Supply Bag</p> <p>Concern: "Pens" should be specified as blue or black ink. "Or" should be removed. Pens should also be defined to not include sharpies.</p>
Lack of Clarity in EPM	200	<p>PDF pg 200 - SETTING UP THE VOTING LOCATION</p> <p>10. Inventory the ballots received from the elections department, including ballot stock;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the officer in charge of elections determines it is not feasible to inventory ballot stock at the voting location, the officer in charge of elections must implement a reasonable alternative method to ensure accountability of ballot stock. 	<p>Concern: Number 10 seems ambiguous when we are talking ballot inventories. Words like "implement a reasonable alternative method" is subjective and open interpretation. The inventory process should be specific and the manual should be prescriptive.</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 200 - SETTING UP THE VOTING LOCATION</p> <p>Concern: Number 10 seems ambiguous when we are talking ballot inventories. Words like "implement a reasonable alternative method" is subjective and open interpretation. The inventory process should be specific and the manual should be prescriptive.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Security in EPM	200	<p>PDF pg 200 - SETTING UP THE VOTING LOCATION</p> <p>11. Set up tabulation equipment (if applicable) and accessible voting equipment near an electrical outlet in plain view of the election board and the voters.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that electrical cords do not present a hazard to the board workers or voters; • For the tabulation equipment, plug in the voting equipment and obtain a zero count following procedures in Chapter 4, Section II(D)(4); and • Ensure that all tamper-resistant or tamper-evident seals are intact, contain the correct assigned number, and have not been tampered with. 	<p>Concern: Number 11 does not include a requirement to seal all open USB ports on the machines voters access with tamper-resistant or tamper-evident seals with a correct assigned number</p>	Manual and lack of Statute	Yes	<p>PDF pg 200 - SETTING UP THE VOTING LOCATION</p> <p>Concern: Number 11 does not include a requirement to seal all open USB ports on the machines voters access with tamper-resistant or tamper-evident seals with a correct assigned number</p>
Voter Suppression	203	<p>PDF pg 203 -. Notice to Voters</p> <p>Unless the same information is printed on the sample ballot, a "Notice to Voters" card or poster must be placed in each voting booth (in large, plain type), containing substantially the following information:</p> <p>Notice to Voters Section one of this ballot is comprised of partisan candidates. To vote for the candidates for the partisan offices, mark the ballot next to the name of the candidate for each partisan office for whom you wish to vote. If you wish to vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write such name in the blank space provided AND put a mark next to the name according to the instructions. Section two of this ballot is comprised of nonpartisan candidates, potentially including judicial candidates, school district candidates and city/town candidates, and initiative or referendum propositions. To vote for the candidates for the nonpartisan offices, mark the ballot opposite the name of the candidate for each nonpartisan office for which you wish to vote. If you wish to vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write such name in the blank space provided AND put a mark next to the name according to the instructions. Mark the ballot by the word 'yes' (or for) for each proposition or question which you wish to be adopted.</p>	<p>Concern: Non-partisan races are held to a disadvantage by placing them second on the ballot. This causes a voter suppression and voter turnout issue for non-partisan races. Non-partisan races should be listed first on the ballot while the more popular partisan races are listed second. This will increase voter turnout on all races.</p>	Manual and Statute	It's a voter suppression issue.	<p>PDF pg 203 -. Notice to Voters</p> <p>Concern: Non-partisan races are held to a disadvantage by placing them second on the ballot. This causes a voter suppression and voter turnout issue for non-partisan races. Non-partisan races should be listed first on the ballot while the more popular partisan races are listed second. This will increase voter turnout on all races.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Clarity in Voter ID Verification	209	<p>PDF pg 209 - Sec IV Checking Voter ID.</p> <p>Acceptable Forms of Identification</p> <p>1. List 1 – Photo ID with the Voter’s Name and Address</p> <p>Acceptable forms of identification with the voter’s photograph, and name and address that reasonably match the voter’s name and address in the signature roster or e-pollbook, include (only one required):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A valid Arizona driver license; • A valid Arizona non-operating identification license; • A tribal enrollment card or other form of tribal identification; or • Any other valid United States federal, state, or local government-issued identification.⁶¹ 	<p>Concern: It specifies name and address must be a reasonable match, but this section does not specify the photo on the ID must be reasonable match to person providing photo ID.</p>	Manual and Statute	Yes	<p>PDF pg 209 - Sec IV Checking Voter ID.</p> <p>Concern: It specifies name and address must be a reasonable match, but this section does not specify the photo on the ID must be reasonable match to person providing photo ID.</p>
Backdoor Voter Coercion	211	<p>PDF pg 211, section V. ASSISTING VOTERS ON ELECTION DAY</p> <p>ASSISTING VOTERS ON ELECTION DAY</p> <p>Voters may be accompanied within the 75-foot limit and assisted by a person of the voter’s choice during any part of the voting process.⁶²</p> <p>A voter may request assistance from a third-party (other than the voter’s employer or union representative or a candidate appearing on the ballot⁶³) or from members of the election board.</p> <p>If a voter requests assistance from the election board, two members of the board (of different political parties) should perform the following steps, as applicable, all with the goal of providing the voter as much privacy and independence in the voting process as possible:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jointly accompany the voter into the voting booth or to the accessible voting equipment; • If requested by the voter, audibly read the candidate’s names for each office, including party designations and the number to elect; • If requested by the voter, audibly read the relevant information pertaining to any ballot measures; • If needed to assist the voter, ask the voter what candidates and issues the voter desires to vote for; • If requested by the voter, instruct the voter how to operate any accessible voting equipment, including what to expect for the recorded instructions and what keys to use to move forward or go back on the screen; and • If requested by the voter, assist the voter in marking 	<p>All of the other bullet points in this section say, "If requested by the voter" as the beginning of the sentence. It is inappropriate to change the 4th bullet to "if needed to assist the voter" as it opens up a large gap of inappropriate voter contact to influence the vote.</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 211, section V. ASSISTING VOTERS ON ELECTION DAY</p> <p>Concern: All of the other bullet points in this section say, "If requested by the voter" as the beginning of the sentence. It is inappropriate to change the 4th bullet to "if needed to assist the voter" as it opens up a large gap of inappropriate voter contact to influence the vote.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Chain of Custody and Backdoor Voter Coercion	211	<p>PDF pg 211, section V. ASSISTING VOTERS ON ELECTION DAY</p> <p>If requested by the voter, assist the voter in marking or verifying the voter's ballot selections.</p>	<p>The manual should instruct the assistants to have the voter verify the marked selections before submitting the ballot. There should be a chain of custody record established that the voter was assisted and who assisted the voter. The manual must include instructions that the assistants must keep the voter's choices confidential.</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 211, section V. ASSISTING VOTERS ON ELECTION DAY</p> <p>"If requested by the voter, assist the voter in marking or verifying the voter's ballot selections."</p> <p>Concern: The manual should instruct the assistants to have the voter verify the marked selections before submitting the ballot. There should be a chain of custody record established that the voter was assisted and who assisted the voter. The manual must include instructions that the assistants must keep the voter's choices confidential.</p>
Lack of Voter Verification	212	<p>PDF pg 212, section VI ISSUING BALLOTS</p> <p>A. Issuing a Regular Ballot</p> <p>Each voter must sign their name in the signature roster or e-pollbook signature pad prior to receiving a ballot. Alternatively, an inspector or judge may sign the roster or e-pollbook for a voter who is personally unable to sign due to physical disability. In jurisdictions that use a paper signature roster, the inspector or judge must write the voter's name with red ink. A.R.S. § 16-579(D). In jurisdictions that use an e-pollbook, the inspector or judge must write the voter's name and the inspector's or judge's initials (on the e-pollbook signature pad) as an attestation. A.R.S. § 16-579(E).</p>	<p>The manual does not prescribe in the situation with a person with a disability that they must present an ID to the inspector or judge.</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 212, section VI. ISSUING BALLOTS</p> <p>A. Issuing a Regular Ballot</p> <p>Concern: The manual does not prescribe in the situation with a person with a disability that they must present an ID to the inspector or judge.</p>
Lack of Clarity	212	<p>PDF pg 212 - ASSISTING VOTERS ON ELECTION DAY - Last paragrah.</p> <p>Curbside voting may also be provided to senior citizens or voters with disabilities as a reasonable accommodation or when the officer in charge of elections determines that a voting location is inaccessible, that no accessible sites are available, and that no temporary measures can make it accessible. See Chapter 5, Section III.</p>	<p>This section should reference document page 126: ALTERNATIVE VOTING OPTIONS</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 212 - ASSISTING VOTERS ON ELECTION DAY - Last paragrah.</p> <p>Concern: This section should reference document page 126: ALTERNATIVE VOTING OPTIONS</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Voter Validation	213	<p>PDF pg 213. A. Issuing a Provisional Ballot 1. Circumstances Requiring Issuance of a Provisional Ballot Voter Received an Early Ballot</p> <p>A voter must be allowed to vote a provisional ballot if the voter appears on the signature roster or e-pollbook as having received an early ballot-by-mail, but either: (1) affirms that they have not voted and will not vote the ballot-by-mail; or (2) surrenders the ballot-by-mail to the inspector on Election Day. A.R.S. § 16-579(B). Voters who appear at a voting location with a ballot-by-mail that has not been voted, along with the affidavit envelope, may use a privacy booth at the voting location to mark the ballot-by-mail. In this circumstance, the voter does not sign in at the voting location and the voter must place the voted ballot-by-mail in its affidavit envelope, sign the affidavit envelope, and place the envelope in the early ballot drop-off container at the voting location.</p>	<p>In Maricopa, there is a system monitoring when people cast a ballot whether in person or by mail. This section should stipulate a procedure to confirm that a ballot has not been cast for that voter. All counties should be required to have a system to prevent duplicate voting.</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 213. A. Issuing a Provisional Ballot 1. Circumstances Requiring Issuance of a Provisional Ballot Voter Received an Early Ballot</p> <p>Concern: In Maricopa, there is a system monitoring when people cast a ballot whether in person or by mail. This section should stipulate a procedure to confirm that a ballot has not been cast for that voter. All counties should be required to have a system to prevent duplicate voting.</p>
Lack of Voter Validation	214	<p>PDF pg 214, section D: Voter Changed Their Name</p> <p>If a voter's current name does not appear in the signature roster or e-pollbook because the voter changed their name, the voter must be issued a provisional ballot or conditional provisional ballot. In that case, the clerk must write the voter's new name and former name on the provisional ballot envelope. The provisional ballot envelope will be used by the County Recorder after the election to change the voter's name in the voter registration database.</p>	<p>This section does not prescribe the proof required to affirm the name change. The section does not require proof to be attached to the provisional ballot.</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 214, section D: Voter Changed Their Name</p> <p>Concerns: This section does not prescribe the proof required to affirm the name change. The section does not require proof to be attached to the provisional ballot.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Voter Suppression</p>	<p>214</p>	<p>e. Voter Moved Within the County</p> <p>If a voter moved to a new address within the county but did not update their address with the County Recorder before the election, the voter must vote a provisional ballot and (in counties that conduct assigned polling place elections) must vote at the polling place that corresponds to their new address. A.R.S. § 16-584(C). A clerk must inform the voter that although the voter has a right to vote a provisional ballot at that location, the voter must vote in the correct polling place that corresponds to the voter's current address in order for the vote to count.</p> <p>64</p> <p>At the new polling place, the voter will be permitted (via the provisional ballot envelope) to update their voter registration record with the new address. A.R.S. § 16-584(C). In a jurisdiction that utilizes vote centers, the voter may update their address in the same manner but may vote at any vote center within the jurisdiction.</p> <p>If the election board has real-time access to voter registration records, the voter's information may be updated at the voting location upon completion of a new voter registration form or address update form.</p>	<p>There is no scenario created to address a voter moving from one county to another within the time frame of the books closed period.</p>	<p>Manual & Statute</p>	<p>It is a voter suppression issue.</p>	<p>PDF pg 214 - e. Voter Moved Within the County</p> <p>Concern: There is no scenario created to address a voter moving from one county to another within the time frame of the books closed period. This creates a voter suppression issue and is unfair to accommodate a voter that moved within the county but not accommodate a voter that moved to a different county within the same state.</p> <p>Either no voters should be eligible to vote based on a move or voters moving within the state must be eligible.</p>
--------------------------	------------	--	--	-----------------------------	---	--

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Voter Suppression</p>	<p>215</p>	<p>PDF pg 215 - 2. Procedures for Issuing Provisional Ballots</p> <p>A voter who is issued a provisional ballot must sign their name on a separate signature roster page beginning with the number V-1 and numbered consecutively (for paper signature rosters only). A.R.S. § 16-584(E). E-pollbooks must be able to produce a report of provisional voters, including the voter's signature, after the polls close. The voter and election board member must complete the information required on the provisional ballot envelope. The voter then must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take the completed envelope and provisional ballot to the voting booth; • Vote the provisional ballot; • Place the voted ballot inside the provisional ballot envelope and seal the envelope; and • Provide the sealed provisional ballot envelope to the election board member. <p>Upon receipt of the provisional ballot envelope, the election board member or voter must deposit the provisional ballot envelope in the provisional ballot box (and ensure the ballot is not inserted into any precinct tabulation equipment). A.R.S. § 16-584(D). The voter will be provided with a receipt or number with which to electronically verify the status of their provisional ballot. All voters shall have the option of voting a provisional ballot on an accessible voting device.</p>	<p>Concern: This section should give guidance for supporting documentation that may be included in the provisional envelope to help the Secretary of State determine voter eligibility. The polling location should be equipped to make a photo copy of the supporting documentation if necessary or provide a method of sending the documentation to the Secretary of State if the voter so chooses.</p>	<p>Manual and lack of Statute</p>	<p>It's a voter suppression issue.</p>	<p>PDF pg 215 - 2. Procedures for Issuing Provisional Ballots</p> <p>Concern: This section should give guidance for supporting documentation that may be included in the provisional envelope to help the Secretary of State determine voter eligibility. The polling location should be equipped to make a photo copy of the supporting documentation if necessary or provide a method of sending the documentation to the Secretary of State if the voter so chooses. The secrecy of the ballot must be protected.</p>
<p>Voter Suppression</p>	<p>217</p>	<p>PDF pg 217 - CHALLENGES TO A VOTER ELIGIBILITY TO VOTE</p> <p>A voter may not be challenged on the basis that they:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Registered to vote using the State or Federal Form and did not provide proof of citizenship; or • Moved from one address within the county to another within the county. 	<p>Concern: This section should include moving from one county to another within Arizona.</p>	<p>Manual and lack of Statute</p>	<p>It's a voter suppression issue.</p>	<p>PDF pg 217 - CHALLENGES TO A VOTER ELIGIBILITY TO VOTE</p> <p>Concern: This section should include moving from one county to another within Arizona.</p> <p>Either no voters should be eligible to vote based on a move or voters moving within the state must be eligible.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Voter Suppression</p>	<p>217</p>	<p>PDF pg 217 - CHALLENGES TO A VOTER ELIGIBILITY TO VOTE</p> <p>Challenges must be decided at the voting location by the inspector and two judges. The inspector should have the challenged voter step aside and permit the other voters in line to continue to vote while the challenge is being determined. If requested by the challenged voter, and before administering any oath, the inspector must read to the voter the rules for determining residency, including the following information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The residence of a person is that place in which their habitation is fixed and to which they have the intention of returning. 2. A person does not gain or lose their residence by reason of their presence at, or absence from, a place while employed in the service of the United States or of this state, or while engaged in overseas navigation, or while a student at an institution of learning, or while kept in prison or mental institution. 3. A person does not lose their residence by traveling to another county, state, or foreign country for temporary purposes, with the intention of returning. 4. A person does not gain a residence in any county they travel to for temporary purposes, without the intention of making that county their home. 5. If a person moves to another state with the intention of making it their residence, they lose residency in this state. 6. If a person moves to another state with the intention 	<p>Concern: This section does not describe how residency is established for transient people.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 217 - CHALLENGES TO A VOTER ELIGIBILITY TO VOTE</p> <p>Concern: This section does not describe how residency is established for transient people.</p>
------------------------------	------------	---	---	---------------	------------	---

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

EPM Conflict with Statute	219	<p>PDF pg 219 B. Election Board Close-Out Duties</p> <p>Upon closing the voting location after the last voter has voted on Election Day, the election board should perform close-out duties as assigned by the officer in charge elections, including the following duties as applicable: 1. Using the procedures specified by the officer in charge of elections, the election board must conduct an audit to ensure that the number of voters who signed in on the signature roster or e-pollbook matches the number of ballots cast, including regular and provisional ballots and, if the accessible voting equipment independently tabulates votes, any votes cast on the accessible voting equipment, A.R.S. § 16-602(A).</p>	<p>Concern: The manual section does not match the statute A.R.S. § 16-602(A): "A. For any primary, special or general election in which the votes are cast on an electronic voting machine or tabulator, the election judge shall compare the number of votes cast as indicated on the machine or tabulator with the number of votes cast as indicated on the poll list and the number of provisional ballots cast and that information shall be noted in a written report prepared and submitted to the officer in charge of elections along with other tally reports."</p> <p>The manual prescribes that the officer in charge establishes the procedures for the audit. However, the statute prescribes the procedures. The manual must align with the statute.</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 219 B. Election Board Close-Out Duties</p> <p>Concern: The manual section does not match the statute A.R.S. § 16-602(A): "A. For any primary, special or general election in which the votes are cast on an electronic voting machine or tabulator, the election judge shall compare the number of votes cast as indicated on the machine or tabulator with the number of votes cast as indicated on the poll list and the number of provisional ballots cast and that information shall be noted in a written report prepared and submitted to the officer in charge of elections along with other tally reports."</p> <p>The manual prescribes that the officer in charge establishes the procedures for the audit. However, the statute prescribes the procedures. The manual must align with the statute.</p>
Lack of Observation/Chain of Custody	221	<p>PDF pg 221, section C. Transport of Ballots, Voting Equipment, and Precinct Supplies</p> <p>Following the close of voting on Election Day, a chain of custody record for voted ballots must be created and the transport of any ballots, voting equipment, and necessary precinct supplies to the central counting place or other authorized receiving site must be documented and done by: (1) two authorized election workers, who must be members of different political parties; or (2) if extenuating circumstances exist, a sworn official election messenger, such as a sheriff's deputy, appointed in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-615(B).</p>	<p>Item number 2 creates a concern as there should always be 2 people from different political parties in this role regardless of their sworn official position.</p>	Manual and Statute	Yes	<p>PDF pg 221, section C. Transport of Ballots, Voting Equipment, and Precinct Supplies</p> <p>"(2) if extenuating circumstances exist, a sworn official election messenger, such as a sheriff's deputy, appointed in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-615(B)."</p> <p>Concern: Item number 2 creates a concern as there should always be 2 people from different political parties in this role regardless of their sworn official position.</p>
Lack of Chain of Custody	221	<p>PDF pg 221 - Transport of Ballots, Voting Equipment, and Precinct Supplies</p> <p>Following the close of voting on Election Day, a chain of custody record for voted ballots must be created and the transport of any ballots, voting equipment, and necessary precinct supplies to the central counting place or other authorized receiving site must be documented and done by: (1) two authorized election workers, who must be members of different political parties; or (2) if extenuating circumstances exist, a sworn official election messenger, such as a sheriff's deputy, appointed in accordance with A.R.S. § 16-615(B)</p>	<p>Concern: As early voting centers and precinct voting locations are open many weeks before election day, a chain of custody document should be established on the first date the voting location is open. There should be instructions included to close each voting day in addition to the final voting day so that ballots from each day are secured and accounted for in the Official Ballot Report and delivered to a secure tabulation center by a bipartisan board. An audit should be conducted each day in addition to the last day.</p>	Manual and lack of Statute	Yes	<p>PDF pg 221 - Transport of Ballots, Voting Equipment, and Precinct Supplies</p> <p>Concern: As early voting centers and precinct voting locations are open many weeks before election day, a chain of custody document should be established on the first date the voting location is open. There should be instructions included to close each voting day in addition to the final voting day so that ballots from each day are secured and accounted for in the Official Ballot Report and delivered to a secure tabulation center by a bipartisan board. An audit should be conducted each day in addition to the last day.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Observation/Change in of Custody	222 - 243	PDF pg 222 I. CENTRAL COUNTING PLACE OPERATIONS	Concern: The Central Counting Place Operations must have procedures included to allow bi-partisan public observers of all processes and boards. Observers need to be able to present for each and every person counting and close enough to verify the markings on the ballot are being correctly noted.	Manual and Lack of Statute	Yes	PDF pg 222 I. CENTRAL COUNTING PLACE OPERATIONS Concern: The Central Counting Place Operations must have procedures included to allow bi-partisan public observers of all processes and boards. Observers need to be able to present for each and every person counting and close enough to verify the markings on the ballot are being correctly noted.
Lack of Transparency	223	<p>PDF pg 223 B. Providing Live Video Recording at Central Counting Place</p> <p>For any statewide, legislative, or county election, and subject to local appropriation, the county officer in charge of elections must provide a live video recording of the custody of all ballots when ballots are present in the tabulation room in the central counting place.</p> <p>65 The live video recording must include date and time indicators. If the live coverage is interrupted, the officer in charge of elections must attempt to reinstate coverage as soon as practicable. Any disruption in live video recording does not prevent the officer in charge of elections from continuing to tabulate ballots. The officer in charge of elections must record the video coverage and retain the recording as a public record at least through the challenge period for the election. At minimum, the challenge period is through the date to file or conclude any post-election recount or election contest.</p> <p>The county officer in charge of elections must timely provide the website hyperlink to the Secretary of State, who must publish those hyperlinks on the Secretary of State's website. A.R.S. § 16-621(C).</p>	<p>Concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The live video recording of the tabulation room should begin at the start of the election period and continue until all ballots are counted. 2. If live coverage of the recording is interrupted, the counting must stop until the recording is restored. "As soon as practicable" is not sufficiently defined. 3. The recordings must be preserved for as long as the ballots must be preserved. The recordings should become part of the final election report. 4. The county officer must provide the website hyperlink prior to the start of the election and the Secretary of State must publish those hyperlinks prior to the start of the election. 5. The live video must include video and audio. 	Manual and lack of Statute	Yes	<p>PDF pg 223 B. Providing Live Video Recording at Central Counting Place</p> <p>Concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The live video recording of the tabulation room should begin at the start of the election period and continue until all ballots are counted. 2. If live coverage of the recording is interrupted, the counting must stop until the recording is restored. "As soon as practicable" is not sufficiently defined. 3. The recordings must be preserved for as long as the ballots must be preserved. The recordings should become part of the final election report. 4. The county officer must provide the website hyperlink prior to the start of the election and the Secretary of State must publish those hyperlinks prior to the start of the election. 5. The live video must include video and audio.

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Conflict with Statute	231	<p>PDF pg 231 E. Electronic Vote Adjudication Board Votes that do not meet the above criteria shall not be electronically adjudicated.67 Ballots that are damaged or defective such that they cannot be read or scanned by the tabulation equipment must be manually duplicated and shall not be electronically adjudicated. A.R.S. § 16-621(A).</p>	<p>Concern: The language in this section removes the language from the referenced statute ARS 16-621(A) "...a true duplicate copy shall be made of the damaged or defective ballot in the presence of witnesses and substituted for the damaged or defective ballot." The manual must be updated to match the statute to include "presence of witnesses"</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 231 E. Electronic Vote Adjudication Board "Votes that do not meet the above criteria shall not be electronically adjudicated.67 Ballots that are damaged or defective such that they cannot be read or scanned by the tabulation equipment must be manually duplicated and shall not be electronically adjudicated. A.R.S. § 16-621(A)."</p> <p>Concern: The language in this section removes the language from the referenced statute ARS 16-621(A) "...a true duplicate copy shall be made of the damaged or defective ballot in the presence of witnesses and substituted for the damaged or defective ballot." The manual must be updated to match the statute to include "presence of witnesses"</p>
Backdoor Administrative Fiat Legislation	232	<p>1. DESIGNATION OF HAND COUNT BOARD MEMBERS The hand count may also be canceled (and the electronic tabulation of ballots will constitute the official count) if the officer in charge of elections removes enough board members (due to disruption or members being unable to perform their duty) such that there is an insufficient number of board members and the shortage cannot be remedied with substitutions.</p>	<p>The statute does not offer language for a circumstance where a hand count can be canceled.</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF PAGE 232 - 1. DESIGNATION OF HAND COUNT BOARD MEMBERS "The hand count may also be canceled (and the electronic tabulation of ballots will constitute the official count) if the officer in charge of elections removes enough board members (due to disruption or members being unable to perform their duty) such that there is an insufficient number of board members and the shortage cannot be remedied with substitutions."</p> <p>Concern: The word cancel does not appear in the linked statute. The statute does not allow for a scenario for a hand count to be canceled. There needs to be enough remedies in place to prevent cancelation of a hand count. Proper measures need to be in place to prevent a Covid outbreak or other catastrophes from disrupting the hand count of elections. "Disruption" must be clearly defined to limit the situations that are considered a legitimate disruption.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Lack of Traceability/Chain of Custody</p>	<p>228 - 234</p>	<p>PDF pg 228-234 D. Ballot Duplication Board 4. Electronic Vote Adjudication Procedures</p>	<p>Concern: There are no traceability and chain of custody procedures required to track who duplicated or adjudicated the ballot. If a decision had to be made by a team, those details must be recorded so there is a record of who handled every single ballot. Every person working on computers should have unique, individual logins where sessions are recorded including an audit log preserved. The duplication and adjudication process as a whole needs to be revisited by the Legislature. It's not secure. It's not accurate. It's not transparent. There's no traceability or chain of custody.</p>	<p>Manual and lack of Statute</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 228-234 D. Ballot Duplication Board 4. Electronic Vote Adjudication Procedures Concern: There are no traceability and chain of custody procedures required to track who duplicated or adjudicated the ballot. If a decision had to be made by a team, those details must be recorded so there is a record of who handled every single ballot. Every person working on computers should have unique, individual logins where sessions are recorded including an audit log preserved. The duplication and adjudication process as a whole needs to be revisited by the Legislature. It's not secure. It's not accurate. It's not transparent. There's no traceability or chain of custody.</p>
<p>Lack of Traceability/Chain of Custody</p>	<p>238</p>	<p>3. Provisional Ballot Board Procedures for Specific Scenarios b. Provisional Ballots Voted on an Accessible Voting Device that Independently Tabulates Votes The provisional ballot shall be marked "rejected" on the EMS and the duplicated ballot shall be counted with the rest of the provisional paper ballots.</p>	<p>In the last sentence of the last bullet point, for chain of custody purposes there should be an identifier or serial number assigned to the "rejected" EMS and duplicated ballot to audit or confirm voter intent was accurately recorded on the duplicate. There needs to be a way to trace this if there isn't already.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 238, Section 3. Provisional Ballot Board Procedures for Specific Scenarios b. Provisional Ballots Voted on an Accessible Voting Device that Independently Tabulates Votes The provisional ballot shall be marked "rejected" on the EMS and the duplicated ballot shall be counted with the rest of the provisional paper ballots. Concern: In the last sentence of the last bullet point, for chain of custody purposes there should be an identifier or serial number assigned to the "rejected" EMS and duplicated ballot to audit or confirm voter intent was accurately recorded on the duplicate. There needs to be a way to trace this if there isn't already.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Transparency	239	<p>H. Write-In Tally Board 1. Write-In Tally Board Procedures The Write-In Tally Board is comprised of one inspector and two judges, who are members of the two political parties which cast the highest number of votes in the state at the last general election. At least one of the judges must be of a different political party than the inspector. At least 90-days before an election, the county chairperson of the two largest political parties may designate qualified electors to serve on Write-In Tally Board. When the list is timely submitted, it shall be used to appoint board members. Without a nomination from a party chairperson, the Board of Supervisors (or designee) may fill the position with a member of the appropriate party. A.R.S. § 16-531(A), (E). The Write-In Tally Board may manually tally write-in votes or do so through an electronic voting system pursuant to Chapter 10, Section II(G)(2) below. A.R.S. § 16-531(E). Write-in votes are tallied only if the ballot is properly marked by the voter. This is done by writing in the name of a qualified write-in candidate and by filling in the oval or connecting the arrow (or other method of properly marking the vote as indicated in the instructions for the particular optical scan or digital scan ballot). A.R.S. § 16-448.</p>	This process should allow for public observance. This is not included in the manual. To ensure a fully transparent election, please add language to ensure the public is able to verify this process.	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 239, section H. Write-In Tally Board, 1. Write-In Tally Board Procedures.</p> <p>Concerns: This process should allow for public observance. This is not included in the manual. To ensure a fully transparent election, please add language to ensure the public is able to verify this process.</p>
Lack of Security	240	<p>PDF pg 240 - EMS Requirements If a secured username and password are used for each station rather than each user, a detailed log of who utilized each station and at what time(s) shall be maintained.</p>	Concern: There should never be an option where people share usernames and passwords via a machine designation. It is not feasible that a manual tracking system can be relied upon. The technology is designed to create an audit log and it should be utilized in such a way to create a log by individual user.	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pg 240 - EMS Requirements "If a secured username and password are used for each station rather than each user, a detailed log of who utilized each station and at what time(s) shall be maintained."</p> <p>Concern: There should never be an option where people share usernames and passwords via a machine designation. It is not feasible that a manual tracking system can be relied upon. The technology is designed to create an audit log and it should be utilized in such a way to create a log by individual user.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Transparency	245	<p>II. OBSERVATION OF THE HAND COUNT AUDIT The hand count is not subject to the live video requirements of A.R.S. § 16-621(D). However, political party representatives who are observing the hand count may bring their own video cameras to record the hand count.</p>	<p>The statute that explicitly states hand counts are not subject to live recording is 16-602. The public has expressed their desire for transparent elections. This needs to be updated to include hand counts being live recorded.</p>	Manual & Statute	Yes	<p>Doc pg 232, Section II. OBSERVATION OF THE HAND COUNT AUDIT The hand count is not subject to the live video requirements of A.R.S. § 16-621(D). However, political party representatives who are observing the hand count may bring their own video cameras to record the hand count.</p> <p>Concern: The statute that explicitly states hand counts are not subject to live recording is 16-602. The public has expressed their desire for transparent elections. This needs to be updated to include hand counts being live recorded.</p>
Backdoor Administrative Fiat Legislation	246	<p>Ballots Included in the Early Ballot Hand Count The officer in charge of elections is required to conduct a hand count of 1% of the total number of early ballots cast, or 5,000 early ballots, whichever is less. A.R.S. § 16-602(F). Counties may elect to audit a higher number of ballots at their discretion. At least one batch of up to 400 early ballots from each central count machine used to tabulate early ballots and at least one accessible voting machine (if those machines independently tabulate votes) used during on-site early voting shall be selected for the early ballot hand count process. The officer in charge of elections must securely sequester those selected ballots along with their unofficial electronically-tabulated results for use in the early ballot hand count.</p>	<p>1. The number of ballots is only 400 which is not statistically significant and opposed to the statute requirements. It should be a true 2% by precinct. 2. There is no selection process established in this section that identifies how the ballots will be selected. We have no assurances that the ballots will be selected randomly in an unbiased process. 3. There is no requirement for observers. 4. There is no requirement for live public video for transparency. 5. There is no requirement for a voting center to organize the ballots by precinct. This is a simple supply chain process to follow the statute.</p>	Manual and lack of Statute	Yes	<p>PDF pg 246 - B. Ballots Included in the Early Ballot Hand Count</p> <p>Concerns: 1. The number of ballots is only 400 which is arbitrary and not statistically significant and opposed to the statute requirements. It should be a true 2% by precinct. 2. There is no selection process established in this section that identifies how the ballots will be selected. We have no assurances that the ballots will be selected randomly in an unbiased process. 3. There is no requirement for observers. 4. There is no requirement for live public video for transparency. 5. There is no requirement for a voting center to organize the ballots by precinct. This is a simple supply chain process to follow the statute.</p>
Backdoor Administrative Fiat Legislation	253	<p>3. The inspector shall announce the first candidate/selection listed in the race and begin the stacking process for that candidate/selection: - The Hand Count Board members shall hold up the ballots one at a time and declare the voter's choice for the specific candidate/selection in the race. All ballots with a vote for that candidate/selection shall be placed in one stack (the "yes" stack) and all ballots with a vote for any other candidate/selection in the race shall be placed in a separate stack (the "no" or "other" stack). The judges and inspector shall view each ballot and ensure that the ballot was placed in the right stack.</p>	<p>This section now mandates the stacking process which takes the ballots and moves them out of order during the counting process. This misaligns the ballots according to the batches the ballots were cast and processed. This also creates concerns when it comes to post-election audits as the order and data won't match. There are alternate ways to do hand counts and the manual should not dictate only one method when the counties have a variety of software options and configurations at their disposal.</p>	Manual and lack of Statute	Yes	<p>PDF pg 253 - Stacking Method for Optical/Digital Scan Ballots ; 1. Processing Ballots with Write-In Votes</p> <p>Concerns: This section now mandates the stacking process which takes the ballots and moves them out of order during the counting process. This misaligns the ballots according to the batches the ballots were cast and processed. This also creates concerns when it comes to post-election audits as the order and data won't match. There are alternate ways to do hand counts and the manual should not dictate only one method when the counties have a variety of software options and configurations at their disposal.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Backdoor Administrative Fiat Legislation</p>	<p>254</p>	<p>PDF pg 254, section A. Stacking Method for Optical/Digital Scan Ballots 1. Processing Ballots with Write-In Votes</p> <p>If there is any question about the intent of the voter, the determination of voter intent must be made by unanimous consent of all three Hand Count Board members using the standards established in Chapter 11, Section IX. If a unanimous decision cannot be reached by the Hand Count Board, the officer in charge of elections shall make the final decision regarding the voter's intent. A notation of the final decision shall be logged on the tally sheet and the inspector shall place the ballot into the proper stack for counting.</p>	<p>Concern: Ballots that had a board disagreement should be set aside in their own stack for chain of custody and traceability tracking purposes. The phrase "proper stack for counting" needs to be better defined.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 254, section A. Stacking Method for Optical/Digital Scan Ballots 1. Processing Ballots with Write-In Votes</p> <p>"If there is any question about the intent of the voter, the determination of voter intent must be made by unanimous consent of all three Hand Count Board members using the standards established in Chapter 11, Section IX. If a unanimous decision cannot be reached by the Hand Count Board, the officer in charge of elections shall make the final decision regarding the voter's intent. A notation of the final decision shall be logged on the tally sheet and the inspector shall place the ballot into the proper stack for counting."</p> <p>Concern: Ballots that had a board disagreement should be set aside in their own stack for chain of custody and traceability tracking purposes. The phrase "proper stack for counting" needs to be better defined.</p>
<p>Lack of Transparency and Accountability</p>	<p>261</p>	<p>PDF pg 261 - B. Early Ballot Hand Count</p> <p>If the margin of difference between the manual count of early ballots compared to the electronic tabulation of those ballots is less than the designated early ballot hand count margin, the electronic tabulation shall be the official count of the race and included in the canvass.</p>	<p>Concern: The hand count and the machine count should always match and when it does not match, there must be an investigation to find out why no matter the margin difference. Elections must be accurate!</p>	<p>Manual & possibly statutory</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 261 - B. Early Ballot Hand Count</p> <p>Concern: The hand count and the machine count should always match and when it does not match, there must be an investigation to find out why no matter the margin difference. Elections must be accurate!</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Election Security	262	<p>PDF pg 262 - Review of Election Program by a Special Master</p> <p>If a full jurisdiction-wide hand count is necessary, the Secretary of State must make available the escrowed election program source code for that county's election equipment to the superior court for that county. The superior court for that county must then appoint a special master to review the election program.</p> <p>The special master must:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Have expertise in software engineering; 2. Not be affiliated with an election equipment or software vendor; 3. Not be affiliated with a candidate who appeared on the ballot in that county; 4. Be bound by a signed nondisclosure agreement with respect to the contents of the election program. <p>The special master must prepare a public report to the superior court and to the Secretary of State with their findings on any discrepancies found in the election program.</p> <p>ARIZONA SECRETARY OF STATE 2021 ELECTIONS PROCEDURES MANUAL – DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT Page 250 Chapter 11: Hand Count Audit — IX. Standard for Determining Voter Intent in Hand Count</p> <p>The Secretary of State's Election Equipment Certification Committee must review this report when considering the continued certification of that election equipment and software. A.R.S. § 16-6904</p>	<p>Concern: The election program source code should be reviewed as part of the AZ SOS machine certification process and the post election tests.</p>	Manual & Lack of Statute	Yes	<p>PDF pg 262 - Review of Election Program by a Special Master</p> <p>Concern: The election program source code should be reviewed as part of the AZ SOS machine certification process and the post election tests.</p>
-------------------	-----	---	--	--------------------------	-----	---

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Lack of transparency and Accountability</p>	<p>265</p>	<p>PDF pg 265 - CONDUCTING POST-ELECTION LOGIC & ACCURACY TEST A post-election logic and accuracy (L&A) test of tabulation equipment must be performed by the officer in charge of elections after the official count has been completed but before the county canvass. The post-election L&A test must be performed using the same election program and tabulation equipment used for the pre-election L&A test and the election. The same test ballots and test script from the pre-election L&A test must be utilized. The post-election L&A test should generate the same results as the pre-election L&A test, after which the officer in charge of elections shall execute a certification of accuracy or otherwise document the results. If the post-election L&A test does not yield the same results, the officer in charge of elections should visually assess the test ballots and/or voting equipment for errors or 70 Although Arizona does not observe Daylight Savings Time (DST), the Navajo Nation, including those portions in Arizona, does. Therefore, when DST is in effect in the Navajo Nation, voting locations in the Navajo Nation presumptively close at 7:00 p.m. DST unless extended by court order. ARIZONA SECRETARY OF STATE 2021 ELECTIONS PROCEDURES MANUAL – DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT Page 253 Chapter 12: Other Post-Election Day Procedures — III. Filing Federal Post-Election Reports</p>	<p>Concern: This section does not include any requirements for public observation or live video recording for public record.</p>	<p>Manual and lack of Statute</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 265 - CONDUCTING POST-ELECTION LOGIC & ACCURACY TEST Concern: This section does not include any requirements for public observation or live video recording for public record.</p>
<p>Transparency & Accountability</p>	<p>269</p>	<p>II. CANVASSING THE ELECTION A canvass must be conducted by the statutory deadline, but should not be conducted until all necessary audits have been completed to verify the accuracy and integrity of the election results.</p>	<p>The manual needs to explicitly state which audits are necessary for verification. In the 2020 election, There were too many legitimate concerns that were never properly addressed prior to certification and there needs to be a remedy for this in the future. For example, a canvas should not be completed until the AG's Election Integrity Unit clears all investigations of complaints that occurred during the election</p>	<p>Manual & statutory</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 269, Section II. CANVASSING THE ELECTION "A canvass must be conducted by the statutory deadline, but should not be conducted until all necessary audits have been completed to verify the accuracy and integrity of the election results." Concern: The manual needs to explicitly state which audits are necessary for verification. In the 2020 election, There were too many legitimate concerns that were never properly addressed prior to certification and there needs to be a remedy for this in the future. For example, a canvas should not be completed until the AG's Election Integrity Unit clears all investigations of complaints that occurred during the election.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Conflict with Statute	270	<p>B. Secretary of State's Canvassing Duties</p> <p>1. Deadline to Canvass</p> <p>Bullet 1: For primary elections and PPEs, the Secretary of State must canvass the results on or before the third Monday after the election. A.R.S. § 16-241(C); A.R.S. § 16-645(B). In the case of a PPE, the Secretary of State must promptly transmit the results to the state chairpersons of any political party that had candidates on the ballot.</p>	<p>A.R.S. § 16-645(B) states the BOS must deliver the canvass to the secretary of state within ten days after the primary election. The manual needs to be updated to reflect statute.</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>"PDF pg 270, Section B. Secretary of State's Canvassing Duties</p> <p>1. Deadline to Canvass</p> <p>Bullet 1: For primary elections and PPEs, the Secretary of State must canvass the results on or before the third Monday after the election. A.R.S. § 16-241(C); A.R.S. § 16-645(B). In the case of a PPE, the Secretary of State must promptly transmit the results to the state chairpersons of any political party that had candidates on the ballot.</p> <p>Concern: A.R.S. § 16-645(B) states the BOS must deliver the canvass to the secretary of state within ten days after the primary election. The manual needs to be updated to reflect statute."</p>
Lack of Clarity on Standards	276	<p>B. Processing Statewide New Party Petitions</p> <p>1. Secretary of State Processing Out-of-County Signature Eliminations</p> <p>The Secretary of State must then review each signature line to verify the signer resides in the county designated at the top of the petition sheet. Any signature line printed by a signer who appears to reside in a different county will be eliminated. A.R.S. § 16-803(B)(1)(b).</p>	<p>The manual as written nearly allows for the decision to remove a signature to be an emotional reason rather than a certainty that the petition signer does not live in the county.</p>	Manual & Statute	Yes	<p>PDF PAGE 276: Section B. Processing Statewide New Party Petitions</p> <p>"1. Secretary of State Processing Out-of-County Signature Eliminations</p> <p>The Secretary of State must then review each signature line to verify the signer resides in the county designated at the top of the petition sheet. Any signature line printed by a signer who appears to reside in a different county will be eliminated. A.R.S. § 16-803(B)(1)(b)."</p> <p>Concern: Anyone can say an address appeared to be in a certain location. An address needs to certainly reside in a different county to be eliminated.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Accountability</p>	<p>284</p>	<p>2. Updating or Canceling Circulator Registrations An individual circulator may update or cancel their circulator registration for any or all measures directly through the Secretary of State's Circulator Portal. In addition, an authorized representative of the committee serving as an initiative or referendum proponent (i.e., the committee designated on the initiative or referendum serial number application) may cancel the registration of circulators for its measure by providing to the Secretary of State's Office, in writing, a list of circulator names and identification numbers and a request that those circulators' registration for the specific measure be canceled. The committee must also provide notice of the cancellation request to each affected circulator.</p>	<p>Concern: When an organization files their paperwork to cancel a circulator's registration, there needs to be an attached vote from the organization to ensure a person is not operating under false premise of being the organization. There is no outline to prevent partisanship cancellation of a circulator.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 284: Section 2. an authorized representative of the committee serving as an initiative or referendum proponent (i.e., the committee designated on the initiative or referendum serial number application) may cancel the registration of circulators for its measure by providing to the Secretary of State's Office, in writing, a list of circulator names and identification numbers and a request that those circulators' registration for the specific measure be canceled.</p> <p>Concern: When an organization files their paperwork to cancel a circulator's registration, there needs to be an attached vote from the organization to ensure a person is not operating under false premise of being the organization. There is no outline to prevent partisanship cancellation of a circulator.</p>
<p>Lack of Security, Standards, Clarity, Accountability</p>	<p>100 - 101</p>	<p>ii. Demonstration and Functionality Test A demonstration and functionality test consists of the Equipment Certification Committee: 1. Explaining the testing process (of both primary and general election test ballots) during the public meeting; 2. Casting ballots on all electronic voting equipment in accordance with the test script prepared for the meeting; 3. Casting ballots on the accessible voting equipment in English and any minority language required under federal or state law, including testing the audio equipment; 4. If the system captures digital ballot images, determining whether the system: a. Produces digital images of readable quality, including clearly displaying write-in votes; b. Produces digital images that are capable of being sorted by criteria such as race, district, ballot type, or precinct; c. Encrypts the digital images; and d. Is capable of transferring or downloading the digital images at a reasonably fast rate; 5. If the system includes electronic adjudication and/or electronic write-in tallying functionality, determining the electronic adjudication and/or electronic write-in tallying program functions in compliance with applicable state law; 6. Ensuring the aggregate vote totals for each race in the EMS match the pre-determined test results prepared by the Secretary of State; and 7. Demonstrating the voting system's ability to function in compliance with applicable state and federal law.</p>	<p>This section does not outline who is conducting the test. The section does not outline where in the statute these requirements are prescribed. A legitimate test should not consist of a sales demo and must not be driven by the vendor. This section does not outline the number of ballots required for the test or the number of real-life scenarios required. This section is not a sufficient test in comparison to technology industry best practices.</p>	<p>Manual and Statute</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 100 - 102 - ii. Demonstration and Functionality Test</p> <p>Concern: This section does not outline who is conducting the test. The section does not outline where in the statute these requirements are prescribed. A legitimate test should not consist of a sales demo and must not be driven by the vendor. This section does not outline the number of ballots required for the test or the number of real-life scenarios required. This section is not a sufficient test in comparison to technology industry best practices. This section does not include the Election Integrity Unit as having proper representation in the Functionality Test.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Clarity and Standards	110-116	<p>PDF pgs 110-116 - 2. Selection of Precincts and Test Ballots</p> <p>The Secretary of State must randomly select precincts that will be included in each type of L&A test conducted by the Secretary of State.</p>	<p>Concern: This section is not by statute and arbitrary. The outline and selection of ballots do not include all of the common scenarios that exist in an election such as ballots with bleed throughs, erroneous markings, partially completed ovals or arrows, overvotes, etc. Without a thorough L&A, this process is merely a sales demo and insufficient for voter confidence. The number of ballots identified is not statistically significant and insufficient as a legitimate test in a real-life scenario. There's no private sector organization that would consider the process outlined by the Secretary of State as sufficient for acquisition of multi-million dollar equipment.</p>	Manual	Yes	<p>PDF pgs 110-116 - 2. Selection of Precincts and Test Ballots</p> <p>Concern: This section is not by statute and arbitrary. The outline and selection of ballots do not include all of the common scenarios that exist in an election such as ballots with bleed throughs, erroneous markings, partially completed ovals or arrows, overvotes, etc. Without a thorough L&A, this process is merely a sales demo and insufficient for voter confidence. The number of ballots identified is not statistically significant and insufficient as a legitimate test in a real-life scenario. There's no private sector organization that would consider the process outlined by the Secretary of State as sufficient for acquisition of multi-million dollar equipment.</p>
-------------------------------	---------	--	--	--------	-----	--

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

Lack of Security	117-118	<p>B. Data Security of the Electronic Voting System Components of the electronic voting system: 1. Must be password-protected (for voting system software);³¹ • In addition to complying with any system requirements, passwords must not be a vendor-supplied password and must only be known by authorized users. 2. May not be connected to the internet, any wireless communications device, or any external network (except for e-pollbooks); • An EMS must be a stand-alone system, attached only to components inside an isolated network. An EMS may only be installed on a computer that contains only an operating system, the EMS software, data/audio extractor software, and any necessary security software. 3. May not be used to modem election results, whether through analog, cellular, or any similar transmission; 4. May not contain remote access software or any capability to remotely-access the system; 5. Must match the software or firmware hash code on file with the officer in charge of elections prior to programing the election and the hash code on file with either (1) the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST); or (2) the Secretary of State at the time of certification of the electronic voting system; and • If the EMS software hash code is on file with NIST or the Secretary of State, the officer in charge of elections must certify that the officer</p>	<p>1. The Password requirements are not specific to the strength required for password compliance. 2. This document does not specify that the Electronic Voting System cannot be connected to the internet at any time before or after the election. It does not specify that the machine must not be capable of connecting to the internet if a connection were made available. 3. The manual does not quote any statute that aligns with this section.</p>	Manual and Statute	Yes	<p>PDF PAGE 117-118 - B. Data Security of the Electronic Voting System</p> <p>Concerns: 1. The Password requirements are not specific to the strength required for password compliance. 1b. It does not prohibit computers that have been used for other applications or processes 2. This document does not specify that the Electronic Voting System cannot be connected to the internet at any time before or after the election. It does not specify that the machine must not be capable of connecting to the internet if a connection were made available. 3. The manual does not quote any statute that aligns with this section.</p>
Lack of Security	119-120	<p>PDF pg 119-120 EMS Gateway Computer</p> <p>Further, it is strongly recommended that the EMS Gateway Computer be segmented from all other networks. In other words, the computer should be placed on its own network, with no other computer or machine connected to the network, to minimize access and exposure.</p>	<p>Concern: "Strongly recommended" is a term that allows a loophole for a lack of security and best practices. This entire section should also require a chain of custody including an audit log. There should be a bipartisan board present for observation. All activity should have live video recording that's preserved.</p>	Manual and lack of Statute	Yes	<p>PDF pg 119-120 EMS Gateway Computer</p> <p>"Further, it is strongly recommended that the EMS Gateway Computer be segmented from all other networks. In other words, the computer should be placed on its own network, with no other computer or machine connected to the network, to minimize access and exposure."</p> <p>Concern: "Strongly recommended" is a term that allows a loophole for a lack of security and best practices. This entire section should also require a chain of custody including an audit log. There should be a bipartisan board present for observation. All activity should have live video recording that's preserved.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Backdoor Administrative Fiat Legislation</p>	<p>201-202</p>	<p>Out-of-Precinct Voter If the voter's name does not appear on that precinct's signature roster because the voter resides in another precinct (in counties that conduct assigned polling place elections), an election official shall direct the voter to the correct polling location or, if applicable, to a vote center. The election official must also inform the voter that although the voter has a right to vote a provisional ballot at that location, the voter must vote in the correct polling place in order for all of their votes to be counted. If the voter insists on voting at that incorrect location, a provisional ballot must be issued, but the voter should again be informed that voting in the wrong precinct means there may be some races or ballot questions on the ballot that the voter is not eligible to vote for so their votes for those races or ballot questions will not be counted. The voter should also be informed that ballots cast in the wrong county will not be counted. Alternatively, upon a specific resolution of the Board of Supervisors issued pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-411(B)(4) authorizing the use of accessible voting equipment within an assigned polling place to be used as a vote center, a voter shall be entitled to vote a regular ballot using the accessible voting equipment if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The election board has access to real time information and can confirm the qualified voter has not cast a ballot at another voting location; • The accessible voting equipment is pre-programmed and certified to allow voters to mark or vote any ballot style for that county; and 	<p>This section added by Secretary Hobbs will eliminate precinct voting. Precinct based voting was just upheld in Brnobich v DNC and this section is to subvert that ruling. Precinct voting is an important guardrail to protect against and isolate fraud. Whereas, voting centers are much more susceptible to fraud and it cannot be isolated.</p> <p>The new language from Hobbs is saying to count every race on ballot except ones confined to the precinct when they vote out of precinct and duplicate the ballots to do so . Currently you vote provisional and NO race is counted if you are out of precinct.</p> <p>This section is an open door to extensive fraud across the state.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF PAGE 201 - 202 - Out-of-Precinct Voter</p> <p>Concern: This section added by Secretary Hobbs will eliminate precinct voting. Precinct based voting was just upheld in Brnobich v DNC and this section is to subvert that ruling. Precinct voting is an important guardrail to protect against and isolate fraud. Whereas, voting centers are much more susceptible to fraud and it cannot be isolated.</p> <p>The new language from Hobbs is saying to count every race on ballot except ones confined to the precinct when they vote out of precinct and duplicate the ballots to do so . Currently you vote provisional and NO race is counted if you are out of precinct.</p> <p>This section is an open door to extensive fraud across the state and should be reversed to the previous procedures.</p>
---	----------------	---	---	---------------	------------	--

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Voter Suppression & Possible Issue with Equal Vote Clause</p>	<p>203-204</p>	<p>PDF pgs 203-204, section 5. Notice of Effect of Overriding Overvotes If the voting equipment used for the election provides for the rejection of overvoted ballots or ballots that contain other irregularities, the Board of Supervisors shall provide for a written notice advising the voter that if the voter chooses to override the overvoted office or measure or override any other ballot irregularity, the voter's vote for that office or measure will not be tallied. The notice shall be posted on or near the voting equipment so that the voter has a clear view of the notice. A.R.S. § 16-513(B).</p>	<p>Concern: This section should warn the voter of what an overvote is and the consequence for an overvote. The instructions should be clearly marked and also handed to the voter with their ballot. The voter should again be warned when they scan their ballot through the machine for in person voting. For early voting, the same instructions should be sent with the ballot and the instructions should be included at every ballot box.</p> <p>The instructions for each County should be specific and follow a similar format based on if their machines identify an overvote or not. The instruction provide by the Secretary is not sufficient and will create unequal access to vote across counties. This is not acceptable.</p>	<p>Manual and Statute</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pgs 203-204, section 5. Notice of Effect of Overriding Overvotes</p> <p>Concern: This section should warn the voter of what an overvote is and the consequence for an overvote. The instructions should be clearly marked and also handed to the voter with their ballot. The voter should again be warned when they scan their ballot through the machine for in person voting. For early voting, the same instructions should be sent with the ballot and the instructions should be included at every ballot box.</p> <p>The instructions for each County should be specific and follow a similar format based on if their machines identify an overvote or not. The instruction provide by the Secretary is not sufficient and will create unequal access to vote across counties. This is not acceptable.</p>
<p>Lack of Clarity and Standards</p>	<p>219-221</p>	<p>PDF pg 219-221 - Election Board Close-Out Duties Step 2-10</p>	<p>Concern: Steps 2-10 should include instructions for a bipartisan board to secure ballots and chain custody as appropriate. The Official Ballot Report should be published online for the sake of transparency and voter confidence.</p>	<p>Manual and lack of Statute</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>PDF pg 219-221 - Election Board Close-Out Duties Step 2-10</p> <p>Concern: Steps 2-10 should include instructions for a bipartisan board to secure ballots and chain custody as appropriate. The Official Ballot Report should be published online for the sake of transparency and voter confidence.</p>

EZAZ.org 2021 Election Procedures Manual Draft Review

<p>Backdoor Administrative Fiat Legislation</p>	<p>86-89</p>	<p>PDF pg 86 - PROCESSING AND TABULATING EARLY BALLOTS The following procedures for processing early ballots shall be followed, unless the Secretary of State has granted a jurisdiction permission to use another method otherwise consistent with applicable law. A jurisdiction wishing to deviate from these instructions must make a request in writing no later than 90 days prior to the election for which the exception is requested.</p>	<p>Concern: The Secretary of State is limiting jurisdictions from using a process that is consistent with the law but not exactly prescribed in the section. The section is not clearly defined and open to vast interpretation in many instances. The section does not follow best practices to maintain a chain of custody. For example, there is no mention of utilizing barcodes on ballots for chain of custody or traceability or reporting purposes. The Election Manual must have much more specific guidance if jurisdictions are going to be restricted to incomplete or inadequate processes required by the AZ SOS. This is not compatible with the statute.</p>	<p>Manual</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	<p>PDF pg 86 - PROCESSING AND TABULATING EARLY BALLOTS "The following procedures for processing early ballots shall be followed, unless the Secretary of State has granted a jurisdiction permission to use another method otherwise consistent with applicable law. A jurisdiction wishing to deviate from these instructions must make a request in writing no later than 90 days prior to the election for which the exception is requested."</p> <p>Concern: The Secretary of State is limiting jurisdictions from using a process that is consistent with the law but not exactly prescribed in the section. The section is not clearly defined and open to vast interpretation in many instances. The section does not follow best practices to maintain a chain of custody. For example, there is no mention of utilizing barcodes on ballots for chain of custody or traceability or reporting purposes. There is also no requirement to maintain Board Members's names - only initials which is insufficient. The Election Manual must have much more specific guidance if jurisdictions are going to be restricted to incomplete or inadequate processes required by the AZ SOS. This is not compatible with the statute.</p>
---	--------------	--	--	---------------	-------------	---